

**REMARKS**

Reconsideration and allowance of the subject application in view of the following remarks is respectfully requested.

Claims 1-30 are pending in this application and stand finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) over Meadows et al. (US 6,716,101) in view of Lim et al. (US 6,259,923), and further in view of Sheynblat et al. (US 6,677,894). This rejection is respectfully traversed, the recited claims patentable over the applied art for the failure of the applied art to disclose, teach or suggest all of Applicants' recited claim features.

Claim 1 recites, *inter alia*, a method for providing the location information of a mobile station (MS) that includes a position determining equipment (PDE) and a client server wherein the MS communicates location information with the PDE via a TCP/IP network using a TCP/IP-based method wherein the client server transmits a PDE URL to the MS. The Examiner alleges that Meadows, at column 2, lines 1-15, and column 5, lines 36-45, discloses this feature. Applicants respectfully disagree.

As disclosed by the Applicants in the specification, TCP/IP allows for faster transmission of location information than via SMS that, because of the limitation of short message length, requires multiple messages, and therefore more time to transmit the location information.

At the outset, Applicants respectfully submit that Meadows appears to only suggest wherein the cellular telephone communicates location information via Short Message Service (SMS). Although Lin discloses a TCP/IP connection between server CLC 101 and short message service center (SMSC) 102, Lin likewise appears to only suggest using SMS between mobile subscriber MS 104 and SMSC 102. Nowhere does the asserted combination of references disclose a TCP/IP connection between the MS and a PDE, as recited in claim 1.

Furthermore, at column 2, lines 1-15, Meadows appears to only disclose a method and system that provides location information of a mobile cellular telephone to an authorized user through the World Wide Web, wherein the geographic location is stored in a database and the database is updated to track the movements of the cellular telephone. Nowhere at the cited text does the cited text explicitly state that a URL is transmitted to MS 104. Indeed, because the

mobile subscriber of Meadows does not set up a TCP/IP connection, there is no need for the SMSC 102 of meadows to transmit a URL to MS 104.

Still further, in paragraph 11 of the Office Action, the Examiner alleges that Meadows, at column 5, lines 36-45, disclose sending a URL to the MS. Applicants respectfully disagree and submit that the cited text appears to only disclose wherein a user of the monitoring system enters a URL to access the monitoring website. Nowhere in this passage does Meadows suggest sending a URL to the MS.

Furthermore, Sheynblat likewise appears to only disclose transmitting location information over SMS and therefore fails to remedy the deficiencies of Meadows and Lin. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully submit that the asserted combination of references fails to disclose either a TCP/IP between the MS and the PDE, or transmitting a URL from a client server to the MS, as recited in claim 1.

Independent claim 16 is similar to claim 1 in that it recites transmitting a URL from a client server to a MS and transmitting location information between the MS and the PDE via TCP/IP.

Applicants respectfully submit that independent claims 1 and 16 are patentable at least due to the failure of the applied art to disclose, teach or motivate all recited features of the claims. Claims 2-15 and 17-30 depend from these independent claims and are likewise patentable over the asserted combination of references for at least their dependence on an allowable base claim, as well as for the additional features they recite. Accordingly, withdrawal of this rejection is respectfully requested.

**Conclusion**

All objections and rejections having been addressed, it is respectfully submitted that the present application should be in condition for allowance and a Notice to that effect is earnestly solicited.

The Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned attorney of record, to facilitate advancement of the present application.

To the extent necessary, a petition for an extension of time under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 is hereby made. Please charge any shortage in fees due in connection with the filing of this paper, including extension of time fees, to Deposit Account 07-1337 and please credit any excess fees to such deposit account.

Respectfully submitted,

LOWE HAUPTMAN HAM & BERNER, LLP

/Yoon S Ham/

Yoon S. Ham

Registration No. 45,307

1700 Diagonal Road, Suite 300  
Alexandria, Virginia 22314  
(703) 684-1111  
(703) 518-5499 Facsimile  
Date: September 11, 2009  
YSH/ERM/jr